



Journal Of Research Technology & Engineering



Comparative Analysis of Cataractogenesis in Radiotherapy Patients and Astronauts: Mechanisms, Risk Factors, and Mitigation Strategies

W.V.A.S Lochana¹*, S.N. Hettiarachchi²

¹Department of Radiography / Radiotherapy, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka ²Department of Nursing, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka *Corresponding Author Email: <u>lochanasachindra123@gmail.com</u>

Received:07 Aug 2024; Revised:25 Aug 2024; Accepted: 15 Sep 2024; Available online: 10 Oct 2024

Abstract— Cataractogenesis, a major cause of vision impairment, affects both radiotherapy patients and astronauts, through various exposure mechanisms. Radiotherapy patients receive localized, high-dose radiation over a short period, while astronauts affect by chronic, low-dose radiation from galactic cosmic rays and solar particles. Despite the variance, both groups share common cataractogenesis mechanisms: direct DNA damage, apoptosis of lens epithelial cells, oxidative stress, protein cross-linking, impaired lens fibre differentiation, and inflammation. Astronauts experience secondary factors such as microgravity environment, integrated stressors (circadian disruption, isolation), and high-LET radiation, which contradict from the low-LET radiation encountered by radiotherapy patients. Risk factors such as age, dose, genetics, duration, and lifestyle further affect cataract risk. Mitochondrial dysfunction and specific inflammatory pathways differ between the two populations. Mitigation strategies include antioxidant therapy and shielding technologies for radiotherapy patients, and space medicine techniques such as microgravity-related anti-inflammatory protocols and protective measures for astronauts. Understanding these similarities and dissimilarities can lead to preferable preventive and therapeutic approaches for both groups.

Index Terms- Cataractogenesis, radiotherapy, astronauts, radiation exposure, high-LET radiation

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and Significance

Cataractogenesis, the process of cataract formation, is a leading reason of visual impairment and blindness worldwide. Cataracts, distinguished by the clouding of the eye's natural lens, can crucially impair vision, impacting the daily functioning of individuals and the quality of life. While ageing is the most ordinary cause of cataracts, exposure to different environmental factors, which include ionizing radiation, has been progressively recognized as a significant risk element. Acknowledging the mechanisms behind radiation-induced cataractogenesis is pivotal, especially for populations with raised radiation exposure, such as radiotherapy patients and astronauts.

Radiotherapy patients are routinely exposed to high doses of ionizing radiation as a segment of cancer treatment. While efficacious in targeting malignant cells, this radiation can unintentionally impact surrounding healthy tissues, which include the lens of the eye. Alternatively, astronauts are exposed to low-dose, high-energy cosmic radiation through space missions, which produce unique challenges due to the prolonged and repeated exposure over extended periods. Comparing these two populations contributes valuable insights into the various mechanisms of cataract formation and highlights the significance of customized mitigation strategies

1.2. Objective of the Review

This review aims to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of cataractogenesis in radiotherapy patients and astronauts. It explores the underlying mechanisms, identifies and compares the risk factors, and assesses the effectiveness of current and potential mitigation strategies. By combining the gap between space medicine and clinical radiotherapy, this analysis endeavors to apprise excellent protective measures and therapeutic interventions for both patient groups.

2. RADIATION-INDUCED CATARACTOGENESIS: AN OVERVIEW

Radiation-induced cataractogenesis is a well-documented consequence of exposure to ionizing radiation, affecting both individuals undergoing medical treatments and those exposed toto space environments. In clinical settings, particularly in cancer patients receiving radiotherapy, the eye lens can be unintentionally exposed to radiation, raising the risk of cataract formation. Similarly, astronauts face increased risk due to the unique radiation environment encountered during space missions, characterized by prolonged low-dose exposure to galactic cosmic rays (GCR) and solar particle events (SPE).

Understanding the underlying biological mechanisms, risk factors, and response to radiation is critical for developing effective mitigation strategies. This section provides an overview of the anatomical, physiological, and radiation-specific factors contributing to cataractogenesis, laying the foundation for a comparative analysis of how different radiation types impact the eye lens in radiotherapy patients and astronauts.

2.1. Basic Anatomy and Physiology of the Eye

The human eye contains several structures necessary for vision, with the lens playing a crucial role in focusing light onto the retina. The lens is a biconvex, transparent structure composed fundamentally of firmly packed lens fibres and epithelial cells. Its transparency and refractive properties are preserved by the accurate arrangement of proteins, especially crystallins, and the absence of blood vessels [1]. Cataract formation involves the opacification of the lens, disrupting light transmission and leading to reduced vision [2]. This opacification can evolve from cellular damage, protein aggregation, and remodelling in lens metabolism, often provoked by various risk factors, including radiation exposure.

2.2. Types of Radiation and Their Impact on the Eye

Radiation can be categorized into ionizing and non-ionizing types. Ionizing radiation, which includes gamma rays, X-rays, and high-energy particles, possesses adequate energy to remove deeply bound

electrons from atoms, through ionizing them. Non-ionizing radiation, such as ultraviolet (UV) light, is inadequate for the energy to ionize atoms but can still affect molecular and cellular damage through mechanisms such as thermal effects and photochemical reactions [3].

In radiotherapy, patients are essentially exposed to ionizing radiation delivered through external beam radiotherapy or brachytherapy. This exposure is localized to target malignant tissues but can inadvertently impact adjacent healthy structures, including the eyes [4]. Astronauts, conversely, encounter a further complex radiation environment in space, including solar particle events (SPEs), galactic cosmic rays (GCRs), and secondary radiation processes by interactions with spacecraft materials [5]. These high-energy particles according to a unique spectrum of ionizing radiation exposure contradict in composition and energy levels from those utilised in clinical radiotherapy.

2.3. Dose-Response Relationship

The relationship between cataract formation and radiation dose is crucial in considering risk. Historically, cataracts were considered a deterministic effect of radiation, with a threshold dose over which the probability of cataract formation increases. Early studies advocated a threshold of approximately 2 Gy for acute exposure, below which cataract incidence was negligible [6]. However, recent research suggests that cataractogenesis may evince a no-threshold or linear-no-threshold (LNT) retaliation, particularly for chronic or low-dose exposures, challenging earlier assumptions and appealing revisions in radiation protection guidelines [7].

Latency periods for cataract development post-exposure differ depending on the dose and rate of radiation delivery [8]. High-dose exposures lead to cataract formation within months, whereas lower doses may ensue in cataracts developing years or even decades after exposure [9]. This variability emphasizes the significance of longitudinal studies in both clinical and space settings to assess and mitigate the risks associated with radiation-induced cataracts precisely.

3. MECHANISMS OF CATARACTOGENESIS IN RADIOTHERAPY PATIENTS

Radiation-induced cataractogenesis is a significant concern for patients undergoing radiotherapy, particularly in cases where treatment targets are located near sensitive ocular structures, such as the head and neck. While radiotherapy is essential for cancer management, the ionizing radiation it delivers can unintentionally impact surrounding healthy tissues, including the eye's lens. Understanding the specific mechanisms through which radiation exposure leads to cataract formation is critical for developing effective prevention and mitigation strategies.

This section will explore the primary radiation exposure scenarios in radiotherapy and the biological pathways contributing to lens damage, culminating in cataract formation.

3.1. Radiation Exposure in Radiotherapy

Radiotherapy utilizes various techniques to deliver ionizing radiation to malignant tissues, aiming to maximize tumour control while reducing damage to surrounding healthy tissues. The primary modalities include External Beam Radiotherapy (EBRT) which uses linear accelerators to deliver high-energy X-rays or electrons at the tumour site from outside the body. EBRT can be further strained through techniques such

as intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) to enhance accuracy [10]. Brachytherapy Involves placing radioactive sources directly near or within the tumour, allowing for higher localized doses with reduced exposure to distant tissues [11].

The radiation doses administered in radiotherapy are conscientiously calculated based on factors such as tumour type, location, and patient characteristics. However, the proximity of the eyes to defined treatment sites (e.g., head and neck cancers) can result in unintended lens exposure, advancing the risk of cataract formation.

3.2. Biological Mechanisms of Cataract Formation

The biological pathways leading to cataract formation primarily involve damage to lens epithelial cells, which play a pivotal role in maintaining lens transparency. Ionizing radiation can disrupt this delicate balance, leading to oxidative stress, inflammation, and cellular dysfunction. In this section, we explore the fundamental mechanisms through which ionizing radiation induces cataracts, focusing on direct DNA damage, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and protein aggregation, among others.

3.2.1. Direct DNA Damage

Ionizing radiation can cause direct damage to the DNA of lens epithelial cells, resulting in mutations, DNA strand breaks, and chromosomal aberrations [12]. These genetic alterations accord to the normal proliferation and function of epithelial cells, which are crucial for maintaining the lens's transparency and homeostasis. Consequently, DNA impairment in these cells disrupts the structural integrity of the lens, the procedure of cataract formation.

3.2.2 Oxidative Stress and Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)

A prominent pathway by which ionizing radiation cataracts is between the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Radiation exposure escalates oxidative stress, which damages key cellular components such as proteins, lipids, and DNA [13]. The oxidative modification of lens crystallins—structural proteins necessary for preserving lens transparency—leads to their aggregation and the consequential development of lens opacities [14]. Due to the lens's limited antioxidant defences, it is particularly susceptible to oxidative damage, which plays a pivotal role in cataractogenesis.

3.2.3 Apoptosis of Lens Epithelial Cells

Radiation-induced DNA damage and oxidative stress can activate apoptosis, or programmed cell death, in lens epithelial cells [15]. The loss of these essential cells reduces the lens's ability to maintain and regenerate its fibres, contributing to the accumulation of damaged cells and fibres. Apoptosis not only disrupts cellular repair mechanisms but also advances the formation of lens opacities, a hallmark of cataracts [16].

3.2.4. Impaired Lens Fiber Cell Differentiation

Radiation exposure can interfere with the regular differentiation of lens fibre cells, which originate from

epithelial cells [17]. This disruption leads to the production of aberrant fibres, deficient in the uniform structure necessary for optimal lens function. The irregularity in fibre formation compromises the lens's transparency, contributing to cataract formation over time [18].

3.2.5. Protein Cross-Linking and Aggregation

Ionizing radiation advocates the aggregation and cross-linking of lens proteins, especially crystallins, leading to the emergence of insoluble protein complexes [19]. These protein aggregates scatter light and diminish lens transparency, constituting a central event in cataractogenesis. Once formed, these aggregates are strenuous to reverse, further promoting lens opacification [20].

3.2.6. Inflammatory Responses

Radiation-induced damage may also induce local inflammatory responses in lens tissue. Inflammatory cytokines emancipate in response to radiation and can increase damage to both epithelial cells and fibres, accelerating the continuance of cataracts. Chronic inflammation may, therefore, play a contributory participation in the pathogenesis of radiation-induced lens opacities [21].

3.2.7. Inhibition of DNA Repair Mechanisms

The efficiency of DNA repair mechanisms in lens epithelial cells is insufficient, particularly following high doses of ionizing radiation. Persistent DNA damage due to insubstantial repair can usher to apoptosis, cellular dysfunction, and an accumulation of mutations[22]. Over time, this inability to effectively repair radiation-induced damage escalates the probability of cataract formation.

3.2.8. Age-Related Susceptibility

Age is a significant factor affecting the susceptibility to radiation-induced cataracts. As individuals age, the efficiency of DNA repair mechanisms reduces, and the baseline levels of oxidative stress increase [23]. Older individuals, consequently, reveal a heightened vulnerability to radiation-induced damage, leading to a higher risk of cataract formation. Moreover, cumulative radiation exposure over a lifetime further expands this risk.

3.2.9. Cellular Senescence

Radiation-induced cellular stress can instigate a state of senescence in lens epithelial cells. Senescent cells cease to divide but remain metabolically active, disclosing pro-inflammatory factors that accord to tissue dysfunction [24]. This phenomenon can expedite cataract formation by compromising normal cellular processes and promoting lens opacity.

4. MECHANISMS OF CATARACTOGENESIS IN ASTRONAUTS

Radiation exposure poses a significant threat to ocular health, particularly in astronauts who are subjected to a complex and unique radiation environment during space missions. Understanding the mechanisms of

cataractogenesis in this population is crucial for developing protective strategies and mitigating long-term health risks. While there are similarities with radiation-induced cataracts in terrestrial settings, such as in radiotherapy patients, astronauts experience distinct factors that influence the onset and progression of cataracts. These include prolonged low-dose radiation, microgravity, and a combination of space-related stressors. The following sections delve into the various environmental, biological, and epidemiological factors contributing to cataract formation in astronauts, providing a comparative analysis with radiotherapy patients.

4.1. Space Radiation Environment

Space presents a unique and challenging radiation environment, generally consisting of:

- Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCRs): High-energy protons and heavy ions originating from outside the solar system. GCRs are highly penetrating and can cause significant biological damage [25].
- Solar Particle Events (SPEs): Bursts of energetic protons and other particles emitted by the sun, especially during solar flares and coronal mass ejections [26].
- Secondary Radiation: Produced when primary cosmic rays interact with spacecraft materials, generating a cascade of secondary particles that contribute to overall radiation exposure[27].

The International Space Station orbits within the Earth's magnetosphere, providing partial shielding from cosmic radiation [27]. However, long-duration missions beyond low Earth orbit, such as those intended for the Mars or Moon, expose astronauts to higher levels of ionizing radiation.

4.2. Biological Mechanisms of Cataract Formation in Space

The fundamental mechanisms of radiation-induced cataractogenesis in astronauts share affinities with those in radiotherapy patients, including DNA damage, protein aggregation, and oxidative stress. However, several factors unique to the space environment can affect these processes:

- 1. Chronic Low-Dose Exposure: different from acute, high-dose exposure in radiotherapy, astronauts experience chronic low-dose radiation, which may contribute to cumulative damage over time [28].
- 2. Microgravity Effects: Microgravity can amend cellular responses, possibly exacerbating the effects of radiation on lens cells. For instance, changes in fluid distribution and cellular signalling pathways may affect oxidative stress levels [29], [30], [31].
- 3. Combined Stressors: The space environment presents multiple stressors, which include isolation, altered circadian rhythms, and potential exposure to other environmental factors, which could synergistically impact ocular health [32].

4.3. Evidence from Space Missions

Epidemiological data from astronauts contribute valuable insights into the incidence and progression of cataracts in space. Studies have disclosed higher rates of cataracts among astronauts compared to the

general population, with cumulative mission duration correlating with escalated risk. Distinguished findings include:

4.3.1. Increased Incidence of Cataracts

Long-duration space missions, such as those conducted aboard the International Space Station (ISS) or during Apollo missions, have demonstrated an elevated incidence of cataracts in astronauts compared to ground-based populations. Astronauts exposed to higher doses of ionizing radiation are at considerable risk of developing cataracts at an earlier age[33], [34].

4.3.2. Dose-Dependent Relationship

There is a dose-dependent relationship between radiation exposure and cataract development. Astronauts who experienced higher radiation doses during space missions had a substantial possibility of developing cataracts and a more expeditious progression of lens opacities [35]. A study observed that astronauts with a cumulative radiation dose over 8 millisieverts (mSv) from space missions exhibited a significant elevation in cataract prevalence compared to those with lower doses [35].

4.3.3. Earlier Onset of Cataracts

Cataracts in astronauts accomplish to occur at an earlier age compared to the general population, indicating that space radiation accelerates the chronology of cataractogenesis [36]. For instance, astronauts who assist in missions involving spacewalks (extravehicular activities or EVAs), which affect higher radiation exposure, were more expected to develop cataracts earlier than those who persisted within the spacecraft's protective shielding [37].

4.3.4. Radiation Type and Lens Opacities

The type of radiation encountered in space is a censorious factor in cataractogenesis. Galactic cosmic rays the type of radiation encountered in space is a crucial factor in cataractogenesis. Galactic cosmic rays (GCR), composed of high atomic numbers and high-energy (HZE) particles, are specifically damaging to biological tissues, including the eye lens. Studies propose that cataracts influenced by high-LET (linear energy transfer) radiation, such as GCR, could potentially progress more rapidly and affect different regions of the lens compared to cataracts caused by low-LET radiation (e.g., X-rays) frequently encountered in medical settings [37].

4.3.5. Progression and Severity

Astronauts exposed to space radiation not only show an elevated risk of developing cataracts, but cataracts may also progress more expeditiously and heighten more severely compared to those observed in individuals with terrestrial radiation exposure. A study involving astronauts from the NASA Longitudinal Study of Astronaut Health (LSAH) observed that astronauts had a higher rate of cataract progression compared to non-exposed individuals, especially when exposed to cumulative doses of space radiation over multiple missions [38]. Longitudinal studies are in progress to assess the long-term ocular health of

astronauts, with concern on identifying specific radiation types and exposure levels that contribute most significantly to cataractogenesis.

5. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF RISK FACTORS

Radiation exposure, whether in a clinical or space environment, presents a significant risk for cataract formation. The comparative analysis of cataractogenesis in radiotherapy patients and astronauts reveals distinct risk profiles shaped by factors such as radiation dose, exposure duration, age, genetic predisposition, and environmental or lifestyle elements. By exploring these risk factors, we can better understand the mechanisms of cataract formation in these populations and identify potential interventions for mitigating risk. This section provides an in-depth examination of how these factors influence cataract development in radiotherapy patients and astronauts.

5.1. Radiation Dose and Exposure Duration

Radiotherapy patients typically undergo localized, high-dose radiation over a relatively short period, dominant to acute tissue exposure [39]. In contrast, astronauts encounter lower-dose radiation continuously over extended mission durations. The cumulative radiation dose for radiotherapy patients can be considerable, often exceeding several Gy, whereas astronauts' cumulative doses are commonly in the range of milliGray (mGy) to a few Gray (Gy) depending on mission duration and solar activity [40].

The dose rate also varies significantly; radiotherapy delivers radiation at high rates, intensifying instantaneous biological effects, whereas space radiation exposure arises at lower rates, potentially leading to chronic, cumulative damage. These differences affect the biological responses and risk profiles for cataractogenesis in the two populations.

5.2. Age and Genetic Susceptibility

Age is a crucial factor influencing cataract risk. In radiotherapy patients, younger individuals could potentially have a higher susceptibility due to more active lens epithelial cells and expanded life expectancy post-exposure, permitting sufficient time for cataract development [41]. Older patients may have an inherently higher baseline risk of cataracts, confounding the attribution to radiation exposure [42].

Genetic factors also play a role in individual susceptibility to radiation-induced cataracts. Polymorphisms in genes implicated in DNA repair, antioxidant defences, and cellular stress responses can regulate the extent of radiation damage [43]. TM (Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated) or BRCA1/2, may escalate susceptibility to radiation-induced cataracts [44]. SOD2 (Superoxide Dismutase) or CAT (Catalase), can alter the body's ability to neutralize free radicals generated by radiation [45]. Studies in both populations advocate that genetic predispositions contribute to variability in cataract risk, highlighting the prospective for personalized risk assessments.

5.3. Environmental and Lifestyle Factors

For astronauts, the space environment declares unique factors that significantly contribute to cataractogenesis or the spread of cataracts. One of the most prominent elements is exposure to high levels

of solar particle events and galactic cosmic rays, which restrain high-energy protons and heavy ions. This high-linear energy transfer radiation can impair DNA and proteins in the eye lens, accelerating cataract emergence [46]. Furthermore, microgravity conditions may aggravate physiological changes affecting ocular health, though its direct role in cataractogenesis is still under investigation. Diversification in fluid dynamics and blood circulation within the eye under microgravity could potentially lead to lens damage [47]. Additionally, long-duration space missions, especially those beyond Earth's magnetosphere, elevate the radiation exposure, heightening the possibility of cataract development when compared to shorter missions within low-Earth orbit [48]. Another significant factor is the oxidative stress caused by space radiation, which contributes to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in tissues, which includes the eye lens [49]. These ROS can impair lens proteins and contribute to the formation of cataracts, composing oxidative stress a key component in cataractogenesis for astronauts.

Lifestyle factors can significantly alter the risk of radiation-induced cataracts in radiotherapy patients. Smoking is particularly detrimental, as it elevates oxidative stress, which exacerbates radiation-induced impairment to tissues, including the eye lens [50]. Smokers undergoing radiotherapy face a higher risk of cataractogenesis due to the connected effects of radiation and free radicals produced by smoking. However, poor diet and nutrition, especially a deficiency of antioxidants such as vitamins E and vitamins C, impede the body's ability to combat oxidative stress, leading to higher vulnerability to radiation-induced tissue damage [51]. Excessive alcohol consumption can further increase oxidative stress and systemic inflammation, interfere with the body's recovery from radiation damage and elevate cataract risk [52]. Physical inactivity also plays a role, as a sedentary lifestyle negatively affects vascular health and circulation to the eyes, although regular physical activity assists healthier recovery and may narrow the probability of cataract formation [53]. Moreover, pre-existing health conditions such as diabetes and hypertension compound the possibility of cataracts when paired with radiation exposure [54]. In conclusion, excessive exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light without sufficient eye protection can intensify the result of radiation therapy on the eye lens, further elevating the probability of prospering cataracts [55]. These lifestyle factors highlight the importance of comprehensive patient care, including lifestyle moderation, to alleviate the risks associated with radiation-induced cataracts.

6. COMPARING THE EXTENT OF MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION IN LENS CELLS

Radiation exposure, whether in a clinical setting for radiotherapy patients or during space missions for astronauts, can significantly affect mitochondrial function. The damage to mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and the subsequent effects on cellular metabolism are key contributors to radiation-induced cataractogenesis. While both radiotherapy patients and astronauts face the risk of mitochondrial dysfunction due to radiation, the type, dose, and duration of radiation exposure differ substantially, resulting in varying degrees of mitochondrial impairment. This section will delve into how these differences in radiation exposure impact mitochondrial dynamics and the pathways that lead to lens cell damage, ultimately contributing to cataract formation.

6.1. Radiation-Induced Mitochondrial Damage:

Radiation-induced mitochondrial damage presents differently between radiotherapy patients and astronauts

due to variations in radiation exposure and environmental factors. In radiotherapy, high doses of radiation can cause immediate mitochondrial dysfunction through direct destruction of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) [56]. This contrasts with the low-dose cosmic radiation faced by astronauts, which contributes to result in a gradual accumulation of mtDNA mutations, potentially leading to progressive mitochondrial damage over time [57].

Both radiotherapy and cosmic radiation elevate the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), but the patterns of ROS generation vary. High-dose radiation from therapy might cause a sudden spike in ROS, overwhelming cellular antioxidant fortifications and causing acute mitochondrial damage[58]. In contrast, extended low-dose exposure in space leads to chronic oxidative stress, which can cautiously impair mitochondrial function[59].

The type of radiation also influences the extent of mitochondrial damage. High-linear energy transfer (LET) radiation, such as heavy ions used in space or specialized radiotherapy approaches, causes denser ionization tracks, leading to severe DNA and mitochondrial damage compared to low-LET radiation such as X-rays [60]. Radiotherapy frequently involves fractionated doses, permitting some cellular repair between doses, whereas astronauts acquire continuous low-dose exposure [61]. This continuous exposure could result in cumulative effects without significant recovery.

Microgravity presents further challenges for mitochondrial health in space. The absence of gravity ushers to physiological changes such as muscle atrophy and amended cardiovascular function, which can further affect mitochondrial function and interact with radiation exposure[62]. In contrast, radiotherapy patients may experience physical disuse due to illness or fatigue, which similarly involves mitochondrial function, albeit without the specific microgravity effects encountered by astronauts[63].

6.2. Comparative Mitochondrial Dynamics:

Differences in the capacity for mitochondria between the two groups could be explored. For example, astronauts might experience a further pronounced decline in mitochondrial biogenesis due to the chronic nature of their radiation exposure [64]. The process of mitophagy, where impaired mitochondria are selectively degraded, could be differentially managed. Radiotherapy patients might have a more immediate activation of mitophagy, while astronauts might experience a gradual reduction in this protective mechanism over time [65].

7. EXAMINING SPECIFIC INFLAMMATORY PATHWAYS ACTIVATED IN LENS CELLS

This key inflammatory pathway might be activated differently depending on the radiation type. Radiotherapy might provoke more acute activation of NF- κ B due to the high-dose exposure, dominant to rapid inflammation [66]. In contrast, the low-dose, chronic exposure astronauts encounter might result in sustained, lower-level activation of NF- κ B, contributing to a slow, progressive inflammatory reaction [67].

A comparative analysis of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α) performed in response to radiation could disclose differences in the inflammatory profiles among the two groups [68]. Radiotherapy might induce a sharp increment in cytokine levels, while astronauts might exhibit a steady but prolonged cytokine release.

Interestingly, the balance between anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF- β , might also be influenced by the type of radiation. Low-dose chronic exposure, as experienced by astronauts, might activate compensatory mechanisms that inflate the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, potentially delaying the onset of cataracts. In contrast, the overwhelming oxidative stress from high-dose radiotherapy might contain anti-inflammatory responses, leading to unchecked inflammation and faster cataractogenesis [69].

8. COMPARING THE EFFICACY OF NUTRITIONAL INTERVENTIONS IN RADIOTHERAPY PATIENTS AND ASTRONAUTS

Radiation-induced oxidative stress and inflammation are central contributors to tissue damage in both radiotherapy patients and astronauts. Nutritional interventions, particularly those focusing on antioxidants and anti-inflammatory agents, have been explored as potential strategies to mitigate these effects. However, the distinct differences in radiation exposure between these two populations—acute, high-dose radiation in radiotherapy versus chronic, low-dose cosmic radiation in astronauts—demand tailored nutritional approaches. By understanding how nutritional interventions can be optimized to address the unique challenges posed by each environment, it may be possible to enhance the efficacy of radiation protection strategies. This section will compare the impact of nutritional modulation in radiotherapy patients and astronauts and explore how personalized dietary strategies can improve radiation resilience in these populations.

8.1. Nutritional Modulation of Radiation-Induced Damage

Antioxidant Supplements such as vitamins C and vitamins E, selenium, and coenzyme Q10 have been studied for their potential to mitigate radiation-induced oxidative stress. The efficacy of these supplements might contradict radiotherapy patients and astronauts due to the alteration in radiation type and duration [70]. For instance, antioxidants might be more efficacious in preventing the acute oxidative damage seen in radiotherapy patients, while astronauts might benefit more from long-term antioxidant supplementation. Omega-3 Fatty Acids known for their anti-inflammatory properties, could be estimated for their potential to diminish chronic inflammation in astronauts [71]. The comparison could concern on whether short-term, high-dose interventions are as effective as long-term, sustained supplementation.

8.2. Tailored Nutritional Strategies

Dietary Adaptations for Astronauts Given the unique environmental stressors astronauts encounter, including microgravity and cosmic radiation, developing specialized nutritional protocols that inscribe these specific challenges could provide new insights. For instance, a diet rich in antioxidants, anti-inflammatory compounds, and nutrients promoting mitochondrial function might be more beneficial for astronaut [72]. Personalized Nutrition in Radiotherapy, In contrast, radiotherapy patients might benefit from

personalized nutrition plans that appraise the timing of nutrient intake compared to treatment sessions, aiming to maximize protection against acute radiation damage [73].

9. NEW METHODS TO REDUCE CATARACTS IN RADIOTHERAPY PATIENTS BASED ON ASTRONAUTS' SAFETY PROTOCOLS

As advancements in space exploration continue to evolve, the unique challenges faced by astronauts in mitigating radiation-induced damage have provided valuable insights into developing protective measures for individuals exposed to radiation in different environments, including those undergoing radiotherapy. The strategies employed in space missions, particularly in reducing radiation exposure to astronauts' eyes, have potential applications in clinical settings. By adapting space radiation shielding technologies, antioxidant therapies, and physiological monitoring techniques used in space medicine, radiotherapy patients may benefit from reduced risks of cataract formation. This section explores the translation of these innovative space protocols into methods for enhancing ocular protection during radiotherapy treatments.

9.1. Application of Space Radiation Shielding Technologies

Enhanced Radiation Shielding Techniques utilize in spacecraft to shield astronauts from cosmic radiation could be adapted for use in radiotherapy departments. For instance, materials or technologies that mitigate scatter radiation exposure in radiotherapy units could be developed, minimizing unnecessary exposure to the eyes[74]. Protective Specialized eyewear used by astronauts, designed to prevent harmful radiation while allowing visibility, could be adapted for patients receiving radiotherapy[75]. This eyewear could be customized to protect the lens specifically during head and neck treatments where the eyes are at risk.

9.2. Implementation of Preemptive Antioxidant Therapy

Pre-treatment antioxidant Loading drawing from protocols utilized in space missions, where astronauts are given antioxidant supplements to counteract radiation exposure, radiotherapy patients could engage in a regimen of pre-treatment antioxidant loading [76]. This could help lessen the initial oxidative burden on the lens during treatment. Continuous supply of antioxidants throughout the radiotherapy regimen, related to protocols in space missions where astronauts receive regular supplementation, could be investigated as a means to diminish cataract formation [77].

9.3. Utilizing Space Medicine's Physiological Monitoring Techniques

Space missions often necessitate continuous health monitoring of astronauts. Adapting similar real-time monitoring techniques for radiotherapy patients could aid detect early signs of lens damage, permitting timely interventions [78]. Biomarker-Based Risk Assessment utilized in space medicine to evaluate radiation exposure and oxidative stress could be translated into a clinical approach. Patients at higher risk for cataractogenesis could be identified earlier and given further aggressive preventive treatments [79].

9.4. Adopting Microgravity Research Insights for Eye Protection

Insights from microgravity research propose that reduced mechanical stress on cells can influence their response to radiation. Techniques that mimic microgravity conditions or lessen mechanical stress on the lens during radiotherapy might be explored as protective strategies [80]. Anti-inflammatory protocols

utilized in space missions to counteract inflammation due to integrated radiation and microgravity exposure could be adapted for use in radiotherapy [81]. This could involve the utilization of anti-inflammatory drugs or lifestyle modifications to contract inflammation during and after treatment.

10. CONCLUSION

10.1. Summary of Key Findings

This comparative analysis elucidates the miscellaneous nature of radiation-induced cataractogenesis in radiotherapy patients and astronauts. Both populations face significant risks due to ionizing radiation exposure, with differing exposure profiles in terms of dose, duration, and radiation types. The fundamental biological mechanisms share regular pathways, including DNA damage, oxidative stress, and protein aggregation, yet unique environmental and lifestyle factors inflect these effects. Mitigation strategies, while overlapping in some aspects, require tailored approaches to address the specific challenges inherent to each population.

10.2. Implications for Clinical Practice and Space Exploration

The findings emphasize the critical requirement for enhanced protective measures in radiotherapy to safeguard ocular health, advocating for the integration of foremost shielding techniques and pharmacological interventions. In the realm of space exploration, the study highlights the significance of robust shielding, mission planning, and proactive monitoring to mitigate cataract risks. Moreover, the potential for cross-disciplinary innovations, such as administering radioprotective agents developed for space medicine to clinical settings, can encourage advancements in both fields.

10.3. Suggestions for Future Research

Future research should focus on:

- 1. Longitudinal Studies: Conducting long-term studies to better acknowledge the latency and progression of cataractogenesis in both populations, facilitating the development of predictive models.
- 2. Mechanistic Insights: Further elucidating the molecular pathways associated with radiation-induced lens damage to identify novel therapeutic targets.
- 3. Mitigation Efficacy: Evaluating the effectiveness of transpiring mitigation strategies through clinical trials and space mission simulations.
- 4. Personalized Approaches: Investigating genetic and biomarker-based methods to personalize protective measures and treatment protocols.
- 5. Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration: Fostering collaborations between oncologists, space scientists, ophthalmologists, and materials scientists to evolve comprehensive solutions addressing cataract risks.

By addressing these research avenues, the scientific community can magnify protective strategies, improve

patient outcomes in radiotherapy, and ensure the ocular health and safety of astronauts during extended space missions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The conception and design of the review were carried out by W.V.A.S. Lochana. The literature review was conducted by S.N. Hettiarachchi. The draft manuscript was prepared by S.N. Hettiarachchi and W.V.A.S. Lochana. All authors have reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. A. Delamere, "Chapter 10 Physiology of the Lens," pp. 1-55, 2013.
- [2] M. Erdurmuş, H. Simavlı, and B. Aydın, "14 Cataracts: An Overview," V. R. Preedy and R. R. B. T.-H. of N. Watson Diet, and the Eye (Second Edition), Eds., Academic Press, 2019, pp. 231–244. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815245-4.00014-4.
- [3] L. T. Dauer, *Radiation at Home, Outdoors and in the Workplace,* vol. 82, no. 6. 2002. doi: 10.1097/00004032-200206000-00027.
- [4] F. S. Hadi Abd, Z. A. Kadhum, F. A.-Z. H. Abd-Zaid, A. H. Aziz, and N. H. M. Murjan, "Radiation Therapy of Cancers, X-Ray Beams, Therapeutic Photon Beams, and Brachytherapy Application Techniques," J. Curr. Med. Res. Opin., vol. 7, no. 06 SE-Original Research, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.52845/CMRO/2024/7-6-7.
- [5] C. Zeitlin, "Space radiation shielding," *Handb. Bioastronautics*, pp. 353–375, 2021.
- [6] N. J. Kleiman, "Radiation cataract," Ann. ICRP, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 80–97, 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icrp.2012.06.018.
- [7] A. S. Rose, "Radiation induced lens changes and development of a radiation safety framework for interventionalists." University of the Free State, 2018.
- [8] E. A. Ainsbury and S. G. R. Barnard, "Sensitivity and latency of ionising radiation-induced cataract," *Exp. Eye Res.*, vol. 212, p. 108772, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2021.108772.
- [9] E. A. Ainsbury *et al.*, "Ionizing radiation induced cataracts: Recent biological and mechanistic developments and perspectives for future research," *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.*, vol. 770, pp. 238–261, 2016, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2016.07.010.
- [10] T. M. R. B. T.-R. radiotherapy dose evaluation: an assessment of the detection and impact of linear accelerator delivery uncertainties for advanced cancer radiotherapy techniques Alharthi, "Rethinking radiotherapy dose evaluation: an assessment of the detection and impact of linear accelerator delivery uncertainties for advanced cancer radiotherapy techniques," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://hdl.handle.net/2123/26943
- [11] J. Skowronek, "Current status of brachytherapy in cancer treatment short overview.," J. Contemp. Brachytherapy, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 581–589, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.5114/jcb.2017.72607.
- [12] A. Uwineza, A. A. Kalligeraki, N. Hamada, M. Jarrin, and R. A. Quinlan, "Cataractogenic load A concept to study the contribution of ionizing radiation to accelerated aging in the eye lens," *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.*, vol. 779, pp. 68–81, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2019.02.004.
- [13] P. Kovacic and R. Somanathan, "Unifying Mechanism for Eye Toxicity: Electron Transfer, Reactive Oxygen Species, Antioxidant Benefits, Cell Signaling and Cell Membranes," *Cell Membr. Free Radic. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 56–69, 2013, [Online]. Available: https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/sducmfrr/issue/20741/221697
- [14] K. K. Sharma and P. Santhoshkumar, "Lens aging: Effects of crystallins," *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj.*, vol. 1790, no. 10, pp. 1095–1108, 2009, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.05.008.
- [15] K. Yao, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, P. Ye, and N. Zhu, "The flavonoid, fisetin, inhibits UV radiation-induced oxidative stress and the activation of NF-kappaB and MAPK signaling in human lens epithelial cells.," *Mol. Vis.*, vol. 14, pp. 1865–1871, 2008.

- [16] T. F. L. Wishart, M. Flokis, D. Y. Shu, S. J. Das, and F. J. Lovicu, "Hallmarks of lens aging and cataractogenesis," *Exp. Eye Res.*, vol. 210, p. 108709, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2021.108709.
- [17] N. Hamada, "Ionizing radiation response of primary normal human lens epithelial cells," *PLoS One*, vol. 12, no. 7, p. e0181530, Jul. 2017, [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181530
- [18] R. Michael and A. J. Bron, "The ageing lens and cataract: a model of normal and pathological ageing," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, vol. 366, no. 1568, pp. 1278–1292, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0300.
- [19] J. F. Hejtmancik, S. A. Riazuddin, R. McGreal, W. Liu, A. Cvekl, and A. Shiels, "Chapter Eleven Lens Biology and Biochemistry," in *Molecular Biology of Eye Disease*, vol. 134, J. F. Hejtmancik and J. M. B. T.-P. in M. B. and T. S. Nickerson, Eds., Academic Press, 2015, pp. 169–201. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.04.007.
- [20] K. O. Muranov and M. A. Ostrovsky, "Biochemistry of Eye Lens in the Norm and in Cataractogenesis," *Biochem.*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 106–120, 2022, doi: 10.1134/S0006297922020031.
- [21] R. B. Richardson, E. A. Ainsbury, C. R. Prescott, and F. J. Lovicu, "Etiology of posterior subcapsular cataracts based on a review of risk factors including aging, diabetes, and ionizing radiation," *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, vol. 96, no. 11, pp. 1339–1361, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1080/09553002.2020.1812759.
- [22] W. P. Roos and B. Kaina, "DNA damage-induced cell death by apoptosis," *Trends Mol. Med.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 440–450, Sep. 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2006.07.007.
- [23] J. Zhang, H. Yan, S. Löfgren, X. Tian, and M. F. Lou, "Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced Cataract in Mice: The Effect of Age and the Potential Biochemical Mechanism," *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 7276– 7285, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1167/iovs.12-10482.
- [24] C. D. Wiley and J. Campisi, "The metabolic roots of senescence: mechanisms and opportunities for intervention," *Nat. Metab.*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 1290–1301, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s42255-021-00483-8.
- [25] A. K. Singh, D. Siingh, and R. P. Singh, "Impact of galactic cosmic rays on Earth's atmosphere and human health," *Atmos. Environ.*, vol. 45, no. 23, pp. 3806–3818, 2011.
- [26] K. Whitman *et al.*, "Review of solar energetic particle prediction models," Adv. Sp. Res., vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 5161–5242, 2023.
- [27] V. E. Dudkin, "Secondary radiations in spacecraft shieldings," Radiat. Meas., vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 9-23, 1994.
- [28] J. Restier-Verlet et al., "Radiation on Earth or in Space: What Does It Change?," International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 22, no. 7. 2021. doi: 10.3390/ijms22073739.
- [29] E. G. Overbey *et al.*, "Spaceflight influences gene expression, photoreceptor integrity, and oxidative stress-related damage in the murine retina," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 13304, 2019.
- [30] E. N. Grigoryan, "Impact of Microgravity and Other Spaceflight Factors on Retina of Vertebrates and Humans In Vivo and In Vitro," *Life*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 1263, 2023.
- [31] T. Huyan *et al.*, "Simulated microgravity promotes oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in ARPE-19 cells associated with Nrf2 signaling pathway," *Acta Astronaut.*, vol. 198, pp. 161–169, 2022.
- [32] S. D. Mhatre *et al.*, "Neuro-consequences of the spaceflight environment," *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*, vol. 132, pp. 908–935, 2022.
- [33] F. A. Cucinotta *et al.*, "Space Radiation and Cataracts in Astronauts," *Radiat. Res.*, vol. 156, no. 5, pp. 460–466, Nov. 2001, doi: 10.1667/0033-7587(2001)156[0460:SRACIA]2.0.CO;2.
- [34] L. T. Chylack Jr et al., "NASA Study of Cataract in Astronauts (NASCA). Report 1: Cross-Sectional Study of the Relationship of Exposure to Space Radiation and Risk of Lens Opacity," *Radiat. Res.*, vol. 172, no. 1, pp. 10–20, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1667/RR1580.1.
- [35] E. A. Blakely and P. Y. Chang, "A review of ground-based heavy ion radiobiology relevant to space radiation risk assessment: Cataracts and CNS effects," Adv. Sp. Res., vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 1307–1319, 2007, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2007.03.070.
- [36] R. B. Richardson, "The role of oxygen and the Goldilocks range in the development of cataracts induced by space radiation in US astronauts," *Exp. Eye Res.*, vol. 223, p. 109192, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2022.109192.
- [37] E. Seedhouse, Space Radiation and Astronaut Safety. 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-

319-74615-9 Library

- [38] L. T. J. Chylack *et al.*, "The NASA-Sponsored Study of Cataract in Astronauts (NASCA). Relationship of Exposure to Radiation in Space and the Risk of Cataract Incidence and Progression. Report 1: Recruitment And Methodology," no. 781, pp. 1–23, [Online]. Available: https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20070031168 2020-04-03T17:16:21+00:00Z
- [39] G. Minniti, C. Goldsmith, and M. Brada, "Chapter 16 Radiotherapy," in *Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 104, M. J. Aminoff, F. Boller, and D. F. B. T.-H. of C. N. Swaab, Eds., Elsevier, 2012, pp. 215–228. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52138-5.00016-5.
- [40] S. Blattnig and L. Chappell, "Evidence Report : Risk of Radiation Carcinogenesis Human Research Program," pp. 1–70, 2016.
- [41] G. P. Hammer, U. Scheidemann-Wesp, F. Samkange-Zeeb, H. Wicke, K. Neriishi, and M. Blettner, "Occupational exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation and cataract development: a systematic literature review and perspectives on future studies," *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 303–319, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s00411-013-0477-6.
- [42] L. Keay *et al.*, "Falls in Older people with Cataract, a longitudinal evalUation of impact and riSk: the FOCUS study protocol," *Inj. Prev.*, vol. 20, no. 4, p. e7 LP-e7, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1136/injuryprev-2013-041124.
- [43] N. V Savina, N. V Nikitchenko, T. D. Kuzhir, A. I. Rolevich, S. A. Krasny, and R. I. Goncharova, "The Cellular Response to Oxidatively Induced DNA Damage and Polymorphism of Some DNA Repair Genes Associated with Clinicopathological Features of Bladder Cancer," Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev., vol. 2016, no. 1, p. 5710403, Jan. 2016, doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5710403.
- [44] N. Hamada and Y. Fujimichi, "Role of carcinogenesis related mechanisms in cataractogenesis and its implications for ionizing radiation cataractogenesis," *Cancer Lett.*, vol. 368, no. 2, pp. 262–274, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2015.02.017.
- [45] O. M. Ighodaro and O. A. Akinloye, "First line defence antioxidants-superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX): Their fundamental role in the entire antioxidant defence grid," *Alexandria J. Med.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 287–293, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ajme.2017.09.001.
- [46] L. Carnell *et al.*, "Evidence Report: Risk of Acute Radiation Syndromes Due to Solar Particle Events," pp. 0–
 67, 2016, [Online]. Available: https://humanresearchroadmap.nasa.gov/Evidence/reports/Acute.pdf
- [47] G. Taibbi, R. L. Cromwell, K. G. Kapoor, B. F. Godley, and G. Vizzeri, "The Effect of Microgravity on Ocular Structures and Visual Function: A Review," *Surv. Ophthalmol.*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 155–163, 2013, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.survophthal.2012.04.002.
- [48] A. Valinia, J. R. Allen, D. R. Francisco, J. I. Minow, J. A. Pellish, and A. H. Vera, "Safe Human Expeditions Beyond Low Earth Orbit (LEO)," NASA Sci. Tech. Inf., no. February, pp. 1–234, 2022, [Online]. Available: https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/20220002905/downloads/NESC-RP-20-01589_NASA-TM-20220002905final.pdf
- [49] A. R. Kennedy, J. Guan, and J. H. Ware, "Countermeasures against space radiation induced oxidative stress in mice," *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 201–203, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s00411-007-0105-4.
- [50] O. A. Oduntan and K. P. Masige, "A review of the role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of eye diseases," *African Vis. Eye Heal.*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 191–199, 2011, doi: 10.4102/aveh.v70i4.116.
- [51] P. K. Meher and K. P. Mishra, "Radiation Oxidative Stress in Cancer Induction and Prevention," J. Radiat. Cancer Res., vol. 8, no. 1, 2017, [Online]. Available: https://journals.lww.com/jrcr/fulltext/2017/08010/radiation_oxidative_stress_in_cancer_induction_and.5.a spx
- [52] J. A. Vinson, "Oxidative stress in cataracts," *Pathophysiology*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 151–162, 2006, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pathophys.2006.05.006.
- [53] Q. Zhang, Y. Jiang, C. Deng, and J. Wang, "Effects and potential mechanisms of exercise and physical activity on eye health and ocular diseases," *Front. Med.*, vol. 11, 2024, [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1353624

- [54] J. Flieger *et al.*, "The Multi-Elemental Composition of the Aqueous Humor of Patients Undergoing Cataract Surgery, Suffering from Coexisting Diabetes, Hypertension, or Diabetic Retinopathy," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 22, no. 17. 2021. doi: 10.3390/ijms22179413.
- [55] H. R. Taylor, "Ultraviolet radiation and the eye: an epidemiologic study.," *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.*, vol. 87, pp. 802–853, 1989.
- [56] M. W. van Gisbergen *et al.*, "How do changes in the mtDNA and mitochondrial dysfunction influence cancer and cancer therapy? Challenges, opportunities and models," *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.*, vol. 764, pp. 16–30, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2015.01.001.
- [57] J. T. McDonald *et al.,* "Space radiation damage rescued by inhibition of key spaceflight associated miRNAs," *Nat. Commun.,* vol. 15, no. 1, p. 4825, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-48920-y.
- [58] D. Averbeck and C. Rodriguez-Lafrasse, "Role of Mitochondria in Radiation Responses: Epigenetic, Metabolic, and Signaling Impacts," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 22, no. 20. 2021. doi: 10.3390/ijms222011047.
- [59] P. Pavlakou, E. Dounousi, S. Roumeliotis, T. Eleftheriadis, and V. Liakopoulos, "Oxidative Stress and the Kidney in the Space Environment," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 10. 2018. doi: 10.3390/ijms19103176.
- [60] Z. Nikitaki *et al.,* "Key biological mechanisms involved in high-LET radiation therapies with a focus on DNA damage and repair," *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.,* vol. 24, p. e15, 2022, doi: DOI: 10.1017/erm.2022.6.
- [61] L. Strigari, S. Strolin, A. G. Morganti, and A. Bartoloni, "Dose-Effects Models for Space Radiobiology: An Overview on Dose-Effect Relationships," *Front. Public Heal.*, vol. 9, 2021, [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2021.733337
- [62] H. P. Nguyen, P. H. Tran, K.-S. Kim, and S.-G. Yang, "The effects of real and simulated microgravity on cellular mitochondrial function," *npj Microgravity*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 44, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41526-021-00171-7.
- [63] Jacqueline P. Williams, "Evaluation of Models Used to Assess Effects and Countermeasures of Microgravity, with Specific Respect to their Utility in Simulating and/or Predicting Space-Related Outcomes," no. May, pp. 1–119, 2023.
- [64] A. M. Rudolf and W. R. Hood, "Mitochondrial stress in the spaceflight environment," *Mitochondrion*, vol. 76, p. 101855, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mito.2024.101855.
- [65] G. Ashrafi and T. L. Schwarz, "The pathways of mitophagy for quality control and clearance of mitochondria," *Cell Death Differ.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 31–42, 2013, doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.81.
- [66] C. E. Hellweg, "The Nuclear Factor κB pathway: A link to the immune system in the radiation response," *Cancer Lett.*, vol. 368, no. 2, pp. 275–289, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2015.02.019.
- [67] A. A. Chishti, "The role of linear energy transfer in modulating radiation- induced NF- \Box B activation and its down-stream target genes," pp. 1–131, 2014.
- [68] P. Mehnati, B. Baradaran, F. Vahidian, and S. Nadiriazam, "Functional response difference between diabetic/normal cancerous patients to inflammatory cytokines and oxidative stresses after radiotherapy," *Reports Pract. Oncol. Radiother.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 730–737, 2020, doi: {}.
- [69] L. Rochette, M. Zeller, Y. Cottin, and C. Vergely, "Diabetes, oxidative stress and therapeutic strategies," Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj., vol. 1840, no. 9, pp. 2709-2729, 2014, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.05.017.
- [70] J. Nuszkiewicz, A. Woźniak, and K. Szewczyk-Golec, "Ionizing Radiation as a Source of Oxidative Stress The Protective Role of Melatonin and Vitamin D," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 16. 2020. doi: 10.3390/ijms21165804.
- [71] S. R. Zwart, D. Pierson, S. Mehta, S. Gonda, and S. M. Smith, "Capacity of omega-3 fatty acids or eicosapentaenoic acid to counteract weightlessness-induced bone loss by inhibiting NF-κB activation: From cells to bed rest to astronauts," *J. Bone Miner. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1049–1057, May 2010, doi: 10.1359/jbmr.091041.
- [72] X. Gómez et al., "Key points for the development of antioxidant cocktails to prevent cellular stress and

damage caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS) during manned space missions," *npj Microgravity*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 35, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41526-021-00162-8.

- [73] P. Ravasco, I. Monteiro-Grillo, and M. Camilo, "Individualized nutrition intervention is of major benefit to colorectal cancer patients: long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of nutritional therapy123," *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 1346–1353, 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.018838.
- [74] K. Chida, "What are useful methods to reduce occupational radiation exposure among radiological medical workers, especially for interventional radiology personnel?," *Radiol. Phys. Technol.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 101–115, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12194-022-00660-8.
- [75] E. Meer, S. Grob, E. L. Antonsen, and A. Sawyer, "Ocular conditions and injuries, detection and management in spaceflight," *npj Microgravity*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 37, 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41526-023-00279-y.
- [76] M. F. McLaughlin, D. B. Donoviel, and J. A. Jones, "Novel indications for commonly used medications as radiation protectants in spaceflight," *Aerosp. Med. Hum. Perform.*, vol. 88, no. 7, pp. 665–676, 2017, doi: 10.3357/AMHP.4735.2017.
- [77] C. A. Montesinos *et al.*, "Space Radiation Protection Countermeasures in Microgravity and Planetary Exploration.," *Life (Basel, Switzerland)*, vol. 11, no. 8, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.3390/life11080829.
- [78] A. E. Sargsyan, "Diagnostic Imaging in Space Medicine BT Principles of Clinical Medicine for Space Flight," M. R. Barratt, E. S. Baker, and S. L. Pool, Eds., New York, NY: Springer New York, 2019, pp. 273–326. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9889-0_9.
- [79] V. K. Singh, V. L. Newman, P. L. P. Romaine, M. Hauer-Jensen, and H. B. Pollard, "Use of biomarkers for assessing radiation injury and efficacy of countermeasures," *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 65–81, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1586/14737159.2016.1121102.
- [80] F. Yatagai, M. Honma, N. Dohmae, and N. Ishioka, "Biological effects of space environmental factors: A possible interaction between space radiation and microgravity," *Life Sci. Sp. Res.*, vol. 20, pp. 113–123, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lssr.2018.10.004.
- [81] E. Pariset *et al.*, "DNA Damage Baseline Predicts Resilience to Space Radiation and Radiotherapy.," *Cell Rep.*, vol. 33, no. 10, p. 108434, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108434.